1	DCRR		
2	Attorney's Name Attorney's Bar Number		
3	Attorney's Firm Name Attorney's Address		
4	Attorney's Phone Number Attorney's E-mail Address		
5	Party Attorney Represents		
6	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
8	*	CASE NO.	
9	· · ,	DEPT NO.	
10	Plaintiff(s),		
11	v.	Date of Hearing: *, 20	
12	*, et al.,	Time of Hearing: a.m.	
13	Defendant(s).		
14	Derendunt(5).		
15			
16	DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REP	ORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
17	INSTRUCTIONS: SUBMITTING COUNSEL TO FILL OUT THE INFORMATION REQUESTED IN YELLOW BELOW.		
18			
19	Party/Attorney appearing for Plaintiff(s): [LIST]		
20	Party/Attorney appearing Defendant(s): [LIST]		
21			
22	On [HEARING DATE], the parties to the above-captioned matter appeared before the		
23	Honorable Discovery Commissioner [Erin Truman / Adam Ganz] by and through their counsel		
24	listed above, on Movant's [INSERT FULL TITLE	OF MOTION TO COMPEL] (the	
25	"Motion"). The Court reviewed the Motion and [LIST ALL OTHER PLEADINGS], and		
26 27	entertained oral argument made by the parties. For good cause appearing, the Discovery		
28	Commissioner hereby makes the following findings and recommendations:		
	1		
	1		

I. <u>FINDINGS</u>

1

8

27

28

"Parties may obtain discovery regarding any nonprivileged matter that is relevant to any
party's claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case." NRCP 26(b). Evidence is
relevant if it has any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence more or
less probable than it would be absent the evidence. NRS 48.015. Evidence need not be
admissible to be discoverable. NRCP 26(b).

However, relevance is not the only criteria for permitting discovery and discovery 9 requests. For discovery to be allowed, it must be **both** relevant to a party's claim or defense and 10 proportional to the needs of the case. NRCP 26(b)(1). Only relevant information that is 11 12 proportional and probative on the actual claims and defenses in the case is discoverable. When 13 analyzing whether proposed discovery is proportional, the court must consider and weigh 1) the 14 importance of the issues at stake in the action; 2) the amount in controversy; 3) the parties' 15 relative access to relevant information; 4) the parties' resources; 5) the importance of the 16 discovery in resolving the issues; and 6) whether the burden or expense of the proposed 17 18 discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Venetian Casino Resort, LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct., 19 136 Nev. 221, 467 P.3d 1 (2020). 20

In the instant matter, [Movant] argued the [discovery at issue] is relevant to the [claim(s)/defenses of _____]. In the instant matter, [Movant] argued the [discovery at issue] is proportional to the needs of the case as follows:

[SUMMARIZE – addressing each of the factors identified in the *Venetian* case and
 NRCP 26(b)(1) (apply and discuss this factor)]

- **1.** The importance of the issues at stake in the action:
 - 2. The amount in controversy:

1	3. The parties' relative access to relevant information:			
2	4. The parties' resources:			
3	5. The importance of the discovery in resolving the issues:			
4	6. Whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely			
5 6	benefit:			
7				
8	In response, [NON-MOVING PARTY] argued [SUMMARIZE].			
9	The court adopts [PREVAILING PARTY's] analysis as set forth below:			
10	[SUMMARIZE the PREVAILING PARTY'S ANALYSIS THAT WAS ADOPTED BY			
11	THE COURT]			
12	The court finds [PREVAILING PARTY] has demonstrated the [DISCOVERY AT			
13	ISSUE] [is or is not] proportional to the needs of the case as set forth herein and the			
14	[DISCOVERY AT ISSUE IS COMPELLED or PROTECTED].			
15				
16 17	II. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>			
18	IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED [INSERT RECOMMENDATIONS			
19				
20	REGARDING THE UNDERLYING MOTION]			
21	The Discovery Commissioner, having met with counsel for the parties, discussed the			
22	issues noted above, and having reviewed any materials proposed in support thereof, hereby			
23	submits the above recommendations.			
24	DATED this day of, 20			
25				
26	THE HONORABLE (ERIN TRUMAN/ADAM GANZ)			
27	DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER			
28	[CASE NAME AND CASE NUMBER]			
	3			

1		[CASE NAME AND CASE NUMBER]
2	Submitted by:	
3	Attorney's Name	
4	Attorney's Firm Name Attorney's Address	
5	Attorney's E-mail Address	
6	Counsel for	
7 8	Approved as to form and content by:	
9	Attorney's Name	
10	Attorney's Firm Name Attorney's Address	
11	Attorney's E-mail Address Counsel for	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		4

1	<u>NOTICE</u>				
2	Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within fourteen (14) days				
3	after being served with a report any party may file and serve written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with objections, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party may file and serve responding authorities within seven (7) days after being served with objections.				
4					
5					
6					
7	Objection time will expire on20				
8	A copy of the foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report was:				
9	Mailed by United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, on, 20				
10	to the parties listed below at their last known address(es):				
11					
12					
13	Electronically e-filed and e-served to all registered counsel and parties on				
14	, 20, Pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9.				
15					
16					
17	By: COMMISSIONER DESIGNEE				
18	COMMISSIONER DESIGNEE				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	5				
	J				

1 2 3 4 5 6	ORDCR Attorney's Name Attorney's Bar Number Attorney's Firm Name Attorney's Address Attorney's Phone Number Attorney's E-mail Address Party Attorney Represents	
7	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT	
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
9		
10	*,	
11	Plaintiff(s),	
12	v.	CASE NO.
13	*, et al.,	DEPT NO.
14	Defendant(s).	HEARING DATE:
15	Dorondum(5).	HEARING TIME:
16		
17		
18	ORDE	
19	RE: DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S RE	EPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS
20	The court, having reviewed the above report and re	ecommendations prepared by the Discovery
21	Commissioner and,	
22	No timely objection having been filed,	
23		
24	After reviewing the objections to the Report and Recommendations and good cause appearing,	
25		
26	* * *	
27		
28	1	
	1	

1	CASE NAME: CASE NO:				
2	CASE NO:				
3					
4	AND				
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.				
6					
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following manner. (attached hereto)				
8					
9					
10	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the Discovery Commissioner for				
11	reconsideration or further action.				
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's Report is				
13					
14	set for, 20, at: a.m.				
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	2				